

# Susceptibility of *Ceratitis Capitata* (Wied) Larvae and Pupae to Entomopathogenic Nematodes, *Steinernema Carpocapsae*, and *Heterorhabditis Bacteriophora*

Alyaa K. Mohsin<sup>1</sup>; Bassim SH. Hamad<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed J. Hanawi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Directorate General of Education Wasit, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup>Ministry of Science and Technology Directorate of Agric. Res. / IPM Center, Iraq<sup>2</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Wasit University, College of Science, Department of Biology, Iraq

Email: [alliakadhim@gmail.com](mailto:alliakadhim@gmail.com)

## Abstract

The pathogenicity of the entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* to larvae, and pupae of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wied.) was investigated. The activity of IJs was correlated with EPNs species as well as concentration rate. *S. carpocapsae* caused the highest mortality rates of larvae at all concentrations in filter paper and soil assays. In filter paper assay; *S. carpocapsae* at the concentrations of 50,100 and 200 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>, caused mortality rates of 68.7, 79.3, and 85.2% respectively, while the *H. bacteriophora* caused 53.6, 61.8, and 72.3%, respectively, at the same concentrations. The LC<sub>50</sub> values were 21.77 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup> for *S. carpocapsae*, and 42.96 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*. In soil assay; the differences between the two species were not significant, with the persistence of the probability of the *S. carpocapsae*, which was confirmed by the LC<sub>50</sub> value (25.58 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *S. carpocapsae* and 81.42 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*). EPN species were less efficient in infecting pupae. In filter paper assay; *H. bacteriophora* caused the higher mortality rates at all concentrations without significant differences (48.37, 52.3, and 58.6%) respectively, while they were 34.6, 38.3, and 53.27 % for *S. carpocapsae*. The LC<sub>50</sub> for *S. carpocapsae* was 184.8 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup>, while it was 55.57 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*. Treating of the pupae in soil gave 31.37, 42.3, and 45.6% mortality for *H. bacteriophora* and 24.6, 28.27, and 36.27% for *S. carpocapsae* at the mentioned concentrations respectively.

**Keywords:** *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*, *Ceratitis capitata*, Pathogenicity.

## 1. Introduction

*Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae) is one of the most important fruit fly species because it is the most cosmopolitan and invasive species, occurring in all biogeographic regions of the world and, consequently, causing the most damages to fruit production (Sticker et al., 2019). The Medfly is a polyphagous pest attacking more than 400 host plant species (Suzuki et al., 2002). Chemical pesticides are still a widely used strategy in fruit fly management as they give fast and effective results but it contributes to an imbalance in the ecosystem, affecting natural enemies and non-target organisms. In addition, pesticides are expensive and can leave toxic residues on fruits, which are prejudicial to the fresh fruit marketing and consumption (Muriithi et al., 2016). Recently, researchers are seeking for alternatives pest control tools like biological control agents. Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) are obligate insect pathogens found in soil that are used as biological agents. They have a wide host range, able to kill their hosts with the help of their symbiotic bacteria within 24–48 h, easy to mass produce, able to actively search and find their hosts, can stay alive for a long time in the absence of hosts, and do not

harm the environment and humans. Studies of isolating EPNs and investigating their virulence have increased, and are of great importance for biological control studies (Hazır et al. 2003). Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) from the families; *Steinernematidae* and *Heterorhabditidae* are widely regarded as promising biological control agents for a broad range of insect pests in soil and cryptic habitat (Kung and Kaya, 1990). Third stage infective juveniles (IJs) leave the host cadaver in the soil, seek a new host, penetrate its body and release their symbiotic bacteria which multiply and kill the insect host by septicaemia. Larvae of the insect pests are the common target of EPNs especially those inhabit and/or pupate in soil. Thus, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the efficiency of applications with different concentrations of the nematodes; *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* in controlling larvae and pupae of *C. capitata* in laboratory and greenhouse assays.

## 2. Materials and methods

### Rearing of the Medfly

The adult colony originated from infested fallen fruits of

citrus collected from different orchards in Madain. Upon emergence, adults were transferred to screened plastic cages (30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm). Adult flies were provided with water and an adult diet comprised of sugar and yeast (3:1 ratio) (Sookar et al. 2014). A plastic bottle (500 mL) containing citrus juice was covered with a lid that had small holes (1 mm in diam.) to collect fruit fly eggs (Kena et al. 2015). The collected eggs were transferred to an artificial diet (Quesada-Moraga et al. 2006). Larvae were reared on a diet of water (50.5%), sucrose (16.2%), bran (24.2%), torula yeast (8.0%), citric acid (0.6%), and benzoic acid (0.5%). The environmental conditions were maintained at  $25 \pm 1$  °C and 60–70% relative humidity (RH) (Usman et al. 2021).

### Rearing of *Galleria mellonella* larvae

*Galleria mellonella*'s larvae were reared on a artificial diet, contained 890 g flour, 222 g dry baker's yeast, 500 g glycerin, 500 g honey, 445 g milk powder and 125 g bee wax in an incubator with 16/8 h lighting set at 24–25 °C (Mohamed and Coppel 1983).

### Rearing of entomopathogenic nematode species

Nematodes (*Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*) were reared in last-instar *Galleria mellonella* L. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) at 25 °C as described by (Kaya and Stock (1997). Infected *G. mellonella* larvae were kept on a White's trap (White 1927), and the emerging infective juveniles (IJs) were collected over 7 days and stored in sterile distilled water at 10°C for no longer than 3 weeks before being used in the experiments.

### Bioassay

#### Filter paper assays

Bioassays were carried out in a randomized design with three replications. The plots consisted of Petri dishes with 10 individuals. The larva trial was carried out using standardized individuals at the end of the third instar; and the pupa bioassay was tested on young (2-day-old). The individuals were transferred to Petri dishes (9 cm diameter) with two sheets of filter paper, where 1 mL of the nematode suspension (*Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*) was added at concentrations of 0 (control treatment), 50, 100, and 200, IJs (infective juveniles) cm<sup>2</sup>; 1 mL of distilled water was used for the control treatment. These Petri dishes were kept in an incubator ( $27 \pm 1$  °C, relative humidity of  $70 \pm 10\%$ , and photoperiod of 12 hours). The insects were evaluated at 7 days after application, and the dead ones were analyzed for typical symptoms of death by *Steinernema* sp. (dark brown color) or *Heterorhabditis* sp. (red color), the number of pupae formed was recorded and then maintained in the treatments for adult emergence. In addition, the dead ones were dissected and the presence of nematodes confirmed their death by nematodes.

#### Soil assay

A quantity of 20 g of autoclaved sandy soil was added to a petri dish (diameter 9 cm). The top of the

soil was levelled; Ten third-instar larvae were placed on moistened soil and inoculated with the nematode suspension (*Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*) at concentrations of 0 (control treatment), 50, 100, and 200, IJs (infective juveniles) cm<sup>-2</sup>. The final soil moisture was adjusted to ca 10 %. For controls, distilled water was applied. Three replicates for each EPN treatment were made and then incubated at 27 °C. For treatment to pupae in soils, ten pupae were buried in grooves (depths 2–3 mm, one for each pupa), and incubated in the same way as the larvae. Emerging adult flies from inoculated larvae and pupae were recorded between 2 and 3 weeks following the date of inoculation.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS20. Mortality (each stage) for the treated group was corrected for control mortality by using the Abbott formula (Abbott, 1925) and then the data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Whenever appropriate, treatment means were separated with Duncan test with a significance level of 5%. Probit analysis was used to determine the LC50 in dose response

## 3. Results and discussion

The activity of IJs was correlated with EPNs species as well as concentration rate. *C. capitata* larvae were susceptible to the tested EPN species at all concentrations applied. *S. carpocapsae* caused the highest mean mortality rates of larvae at all concentrations in filter paper and soil assays (Tables 1 and 2). In filter paper assay; at the concentrations of 50, 100 and 200 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>, *S. carpocapsae* caused mortality rates of 68.7, 79.3, and 85.2% respectively, with significant differences between the concentrations of 100 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> and 200 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> from the concentration 50 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup>. The correlation coefficient value between concentrations and the mortality rate was 0.69. The mortality rate achieved by the *H. bacteriophora* was 53.6, 61.8, and 72.3%, respectively, at the same concentrations. There were Significant differences between the both species of nematodes at concentrations of 50, and 200 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>. This was confirmed by the LC50 value which was 21.77 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup> for *S. carpocapsae*, and 42.96 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*. In soil assay; the differences between the two species were not significant, with the persistence of the probability of the *S. carpocapsae*, which was confirmed by the LC50 value (25.58 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *S. carpocapsae* and 81.42 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*).

The fruit fly pupae might be resistant to EPN penetration, EPN species was less efficient in infecting pupae compared with its effectiveness against larvae, (table 3). In filter paper assay; *H. bacteriophora* caused the higher mortality rates at all concentrations without significant differences (48.37, 52.3, and 58.6%) respectively, while they were 34.6, 38.3, and 53.27 % for *S. carpocapsae*. The LC50 for *S. carpocapsae* was 184.8 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup>, while it was

55.57 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*. The correlation coefficient between concentrations and mortality was 0.85 for *S. carpocapsae*, and 0.62 for *H. bacteriophora*. Treating of the pupae in soil gave 31.37, 42.3, and 45.6% mortality at concentrations of 50,100 and 200 IJs/cm<sup>2</sup> respectively for *H. bacteriophora* and 24.6, 28.27, and 36.27% for *S. carpocapsae* at the mentioned concentrations respectively. The LC50 value for *S. carpocapsae* was 1040 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup>, while it was 255 IJs/ cm<sup>2</sup> for *H. bacteriophora*. The correlation coefficient between concentrations and mortality were 0.81 and 0.69 for *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae* respectively (table 4).

Several studies have been carried out to evaluate the efficiency of steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes against *C. capitata*, In (Morocco, Mokrini et al. 2020) evaluated the efficiency of EPN strains against *C. capitata*. In laboratory assays, *S. feltiae*-SFMOR9, *S. feltiae*-SF-MOR10 and *H. bacteriophora*-HBMOR7 strains showed significantly higher infectivity. (Gazit& Gavish 2000) assessed 12 different species of EPNs against pre-pupae of *C. capitata*, from which *S. riobrave*, Texas isolate, was the most infective. It was emphasized by (Yee & Lacey (2003) that the higher susceptibility of larvae to EPNs may be related with the higher release of CO<sub>2</sub> at that stage, attracting the nematodes. Also, large natural openings and the poorly sclerotized integument of the larva enable EPNs infect more easily. In contrast, the lower susceptibility of pupae could be due to the small spiracle opening size for nematode penetration (Toledo et al., 2005). The closure of all-natural openings owing largely to

sclerotization and thickening of the cuticle into puparial cells is a main reason of pupal resistance (Grewal et al., 2005). It was also confirmed by (Chergui et al. (2019), who used a Turkish *S. feltiae* isolate and observed that the final instar larvae and newly formed pupae of *C. capitata* were more susceptible to EPNs than old pupae under laboratory conditions. Many tested EPNs were found to have no ability to cause infection to pupal stage of different species of fruit flies (Lindegren and Vail, 1986; Yee and Lacey, 2003; Soliman, 2007 and Karagoz et al. 2009).

Griffin et al., 2005 explained the superior of *H. bacteriophora* to cause higher pupal mortality than *S. carpocapsae* by dorsal tooth of *Heterorhabditis* species used to penetrate the host cuticle more easily. Increasing of larvae and pupae mortality caused by all nematode isolates as the dose increased, reported in Studies of (Nouh & Hussein (2014) and Minas et al. (2016) that gave similar results , higher mortality with higher IJs doses. (Kepenekçi & Susurluk (2006) used two Turkish isolates against *C. capitata* pupae and obtained higher mortality with 100 IJs/insect compared to 50 IJs/insect. Similar trends in the efficacy of the EPN isolates were observed in the bioassays performed under different conditions. The result of this study need to be further evaluated by testing the most effective isolates under field conditions. In recent studies, different authors elucidated the efficacy of steinernematid and heterorhabditid species against larvae and pupae of *C. capitata* in reducing population densities in laboratory assays or under field conditions (Laforgue& Mokrini,2020; Kapranas et al.,2023).

**Table 1 Effect of different concentrations ( 50, 100, and 200, IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on *ceratitis capitata* larvae in filter paper**

Nematode species	50 IJs/ml	100IJs/ml	200 IJs/ml	R value	LC50
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	53.6A	61.8B	72.3C	0.95	42.96 IJs/ ml
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i>	68.7A	79.3AB	85.2B	0.69	21.77 IJs/ml
P value	0.041	0.076	0.033		

\*Means followed by same letter in the same raw are not significantly different

**Table 2 Effect of different concentrations ( 50, 100, and 200, IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on *Ceratitis capitata* larvae in soil**

Nematode species	50IJs/cm <sup>2</sup>	100IJs/cm <sup>2</sup>	200IJs/cm <sup>2</sup>	R value	LC50
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	43.5A	48.7A	61.7B	0.85	81.42 IJs/cm <sup>2</sup>
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i>	57.7A	64.3A	69.6A	0.74	25.58 IJs/cm <sup>2</sup>
P value	0.075	0.085	0.094		

\*Means followed by same letter in the same raw are not significantly different

**Table 3 Effect of different concentrations ( 50, 100, and 200, IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on *Ceratitis capitata* pupae in filter paper**

Nematode species	50IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	100IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	200IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	R value	LC50
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	48.37A	52.3A	58.6A	0.62	55.57 IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i>	34.6A	38.3A	53.27B	0.84	184.8 IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>
P value	0.075	0.085	0.094		

Means followed by same letter in the same raw are not significantly different

**Table 4 Effect of different concentrations ( 50, 100, and 200, IJs/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on *Ceratitis capitata* pupae in soil**

Nematode species	50IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	100IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	200IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>	R value	LC50
<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	31.37A	42.3B	45.6B	0.81	255.27 IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>
<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i>	24.6A	28.3A	36.27A	0.69	1040.38 IJs/ cm <sup>2</sup>
P value	0.17	0.085	0.1		

Mean followed by same letter in the same raw are not significantly different

## References

- Aatif, H. M., Hanif, M. S., Ferhan, M., Raheel, M., Shakeel, Q., Ashraf, W., ... & Ali, S. (2019). Assessment of the entomopathogenic nematodes against maggots and pupae of the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel)(Diptera: Tephritidae), under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 29(1), 1-5 .
- Abbott, W. S. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. econ. Entomol*, 18(2), 265-267.
- Gal, E., & Toledo, S. (2005). Algorithms and data structures for flash memories. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 37(2), 138-163.
- Gavish, A., Halachmi, M., Winocur, E., & Gazit, E. (2000). Oral habits and their association with signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in adolescent girls. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*, 27(1), 22-32..
- Grewal, P. S., Ehlers, R. U., & Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. (Eds.). (2005). *Nematodes as biocontrol agents*. CABI.
- Hazir, S., Kaya, H. K., Stock, S. P., & Keskin, N. (2003). Entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) for biological control of soil pests. *Turkish journal of Biology*, 27(4), 181-202..
- Hussein, Y. M., Shalaby, S. M., Nassar, A., Alzahrani, S. S., Alharbi, A. S., & Nouh, M. (2014). Association between genes encoding components of the IL-4/IL-4 receptor pathway and dermatitis in children. *Gene*, 545(2), 276-281.
- Kapranas, A., Chronopoulou, A., Peters, A., Antonatos, S., Lytra, I., Milonas, P., & Papachristos, D. (2023). Early and off-season biological control of medfly with entomopathogenic nematodes: From laboratory experiments to successful field trials. *Biological Control*, 179, 105173.
- Kaya, H. K., & Stock, S. P. (1997). Techniques in insect nematology. In *Manual of techniques in insect pathology* (pp. 281-324). Academic Press.
- Kena, G., Musu-Gillette, L., Robinson, J., Wang, X., Rathbun, A., Zhang, J., ... & Velez, E. D. V. (2015). The Condition of Education 2015. NCES 2015-144. National Center for Education Statistics.
- Kepenekci, I., & Susurluk, A. (2006). Infectivity of two Turkish isolates of *Steinernema feltiae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) against *Rhagoletis cerasi* and *Ceratitis capitata*. *Nematologia Mediterranea*
- Kung, S. P., Gaugler, R., & Kaya, H. K. (1990). Soil type and entomopathogenic nematode persistence. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 55(3), 401-406.
- Laforgue, A., Yuan, X. Z., Platt, A., Brueckner, S., Perrin-Sarazin, F., Toupin, M., ... & Mokrini, A. (2020). Effects of fast charging at low temperature on a high energy Li-ion battery. *Journal of the electrochemical society*, 167(14), 140521.
- Lindgren, J. E., & Vail, P. V. (1986). Susceptibility of Mediterranean fruit fly, melon fly, and oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) to the entomogenous nematode *Steinernema feltiae* in laboratory tests. *Environmental Entomology*, 15(3), 465-468.
- Muriithi, J. G., Waweru, K. M., & Muturi, W. M. (2016). Effect of credit risk on financial performance of commercial banks Kenya.
- Quesada-Moraga, E. E. A. A., Maranhao, E. A. A., Valverde-García, P., & Santiago-Álvarez, C. (2006). Selection of *Beauveria bassiana* isolates for control of the whiteflies *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* on the basis of their virulence, thermal requirements, and toxicogenic activity. *Biological control*, 36(3), 274-287.
- Ribeiro, L. M. L., & Lopes, A. G. (2018). Public safety policy in the state of Minas Gerais (2003-2016): Agenda problems and path dependence. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology*, 7, 121-134.
- Sookar, P., Bhagwant, S., & Allymamod, M. N. (2014). Effect of *Metarhizium anisopliae* on the fertility and fecundity of two species of fruit flies and horizontal transmission of mycotic infection. *Journal of insect science*, 14(1), 100.
- Sticker, D., Rothbauer, M., Ehgartner, J., Steininger, C., Liske, O., Liska, R., ... & Ertl, P. (2019). Oxygen management at the microscale: a functional biochip material with long-lasting and tunable oxygen scavenging properties for cell culture applications. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, 11(10), 9730-9739.
- Suzuki, T., Shen, H., Akagi, K., Morse, H. C., Malley, J. D., Naiman, D. Q., ... & Copeland, N. G. (2002). New genes involved in cancer identified by retroviral tagging. *Nature genetics*, 32(1), 166-174.
- White, G. F. (1927). A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. *Science*, 66(1709), 302-303..
- Yang, B., & Usman, M. (2021). Do industrialization, economic growth and globalization processes influence the ecological footprint and healthcare expenditures? Fresh insights based on the STIRPAT model for countries with the highest healthcare expenditures. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 28, 893-910.
- Yee, W. L., & Lacey, L. A. (2003). Stage-specific mortality of *Rhagoletis indifferens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) exposed to three species of *Steinernema* nematodes. *Biological Control*, 27(3), 349-356.